E-PRTR Regulation revision - Targeted Stakeholder Survey

Assessment of Options for Revision of the Regulation establishing the European Pollutant Release and Transfer Register (E-PRTR) – Targeted Stakeholder Survey

Objectives

The primary aim of the European Pollutant Release and Transfer Register (E-PRTR) is to improve public access to environmental information, allowing for the informed participation of EU citizens in environmental decision-making on the EU's largest (agro-)industrial activities. This targeted stakeholder survey will assist the European Commission in assessing possible legislative or non-legislative measures to improve implementation of the E-PRTR Regulation (EC/2006/166). The E-PRTR Regulation is closely linked to the Industrial Emissions Directive (IED) and there is a similar ongoing survey supporting revision of the IED.

The scope for revision of the E-PRTR Regulation is set out in this <u>inception impact assessment</u>. To inform revision of the E-PRTR Regulation, work will be undertaken to understand the problems and their drivers, and to identify policy options that can address them in addition to achieving the overall policy objectives more efficiently, coherently and clearly.

The information gathered through this survey will be of great importance. It will be used to clarify the problems; identify synergies with revision of the IED; design policy options; define the baseline for the assessment; and assess the economic, social and environmental impacts of the selected policy options. This will enable comparison of the policy options against the baseline and will contribute to the Commission's impact assessment and staff working document.

RPA Europe, Aether and Air Quality Consultants are supporting the European Commission with the E-PRTR impact assessment, including stakeholder engagement activities. If you have any questions about this survey, please contact us at e-prtr.revision@rpa-europe.eu.

Overview

This survey is intended to gather feedback for the impact assessment from stakeholders involved in implementation of the E-PRTR Regulation. It groups questions under six problem areas that broadly reflect the inception impact assessment, namely:

- 1. Activities and activity thresholds
- 2. Pollutants and thresholds for reporting releases
- 3. Information to track progress towards the circular economy and the decarbonisation of industry
- 4. Reporting modalities and data flow
- 5. Access to E-PRTR information
- 6. Releases from diffuse sources and products

Survey instructions

The electronic interactive version of this survey contains questions based on which type of organisation you represent. The questions are tailored depending on whether you represent a Member State authority (at any level of administration), industry (individual company or trade association) or other stakeholder group (environmental NGOs, technical experts, academia and researchers). This pdf version of the survey (for information, rather than to be filled in) includes all questions, for reference and for complete transparency.

Where a question is not relevant to your experience or knowledge, please respond 'NA' (Not Applicable) and proceed to the next one. Or, if it is relevant but you do not know the response.

please respond 'do not know'. Where specific questions appear more relevant to other organisation(s) you are aware of, please forward the survey and invite them to respond.

The deadline for this survey is **Friday 30 April 2021**. You can only take this survey once.

N.B. You do not need to answer all the questions at the same time, as you can save your progress and complete the survey later. To return to the survey, you must provide a valid email address via the toolbar. A continuation link is sent to the provided email. When you use the Save and Continue feature, all survey progress up to that point is saved (including on the active page).

E-PRTR Regulation revision - Targeted Stakeholder Survey

About you

Page description: Please provide the following details about yourself. Your personal data provided for the survey (name, organisation name, email address and country of residence) will not be published. Information on the type of stakeholder group you are representing will be used for statistical analysis. Open text comments will be associated with country and stakeholder type. The provision of personal data is not mandatory. However, if you do not provide your personal data, we will not be able to contact you with additional information to follow up your survey response. Further information on how we process your personal data is available here. 1. Please provide the following details: Your name: Organisation name: e-mail address:

Country of operation:	
Albania	
Austria	
Belgium	
Bosnia and Herzegovina	
Bulgaria	
Croatia	
Cyprus	
Czechia	
Denmark	
Estonia	
Finland	
France	
Germany	
Greece	
Hungary	
Iceland	
Ireland	
Italy	
Kosovo - This designation is without prejudice to positions on status	
Latvia	
Liechtenstein	
Lithuania	
Luxembourg	
Malta	
Montenegro	
Netherlands	
North Macedonia	
Norway	
Poland	
Portugal	
Romania	
Serbia	
Slovakia	
Slovenia	
Spain	
Sweden	
Switzerland	
Turkey	
United Kingdom	
Other (please specify below)	
Comments	

Stakeholder type: *							
Private Company Public utility provider Industry or trade association Non-governmental organisation Academic/Scientist/Researcher Consumer association Trade union National authority Local/Regional authority European institution International body Member of the public Other (please specify below) Comments							
After completing this survey, are you willing to be updates on the impact assessment? O Yes No	contact	ed for any o	clarification, a fo	ollow-up	interview and/	or furthe	er
2. Organisation size: Microenterprise (1 to 9 employees) Small enterprise (10 to 49 employees) Medium-sized enterprise (50 to 249 employees) Large enterprise (250 employees or more)							
O Diagon indicate the true digit NAOE and a free							
3. Please indicate the two-digit NACE code of you	ur prima	ry business	s sector:				
Your use of pollutant registers							
4. How often do you access pollutant registers?							More
	Never	Once per year or less frequent	Between once per month and once per year	Once per month	Between once per week and once per month	Once per week	than once per week
A national pollutant release and transfer register							
The European Pollutant Release and Transfer Register (E-PRTR)		П	П		П		

5. What do you access the pollution register(s) for? (Multiple options can be selected)
☐ To review my own data
To examine pollutant releases in my local area
To compare releases between activities, facilities, regions, etc.
☐ To carry out trend analysis for specific pollutants or activities
To use the data for overall analysis of release data
Other (please indicate reason)
*
6. Which data do you most often examine? (Multiple options can be selected) Releases to air Releases to water Releases to land Waste transfers Off-site transfers of pollutants in waste water destined for waste-water treatment Releases from diffuse sources into air Releases from diffuse sources into water
 7. I am: C Responsible for providing data to a competent authority C Responsible for checking the data provided at national level and forwarding them to the European Environment Agency C Neither of the above
8. Is gathering and reporting the information to your competent authority time-consuming? Not at all Very time-consuming
9. What is your estimate of how many person-days per year you need to collate and report the information to your competent authority?

10. Do you incur any other costs (beyond work time) to gather and report the information? If yes, please indicate.
11. Is assessment of data quality time-consuming? Not at all Very time-consuming Don't know
12. What is your estimate of how many person-days per year in total you need to assess the quality of data provided by facility operators?
13. For how many facilities are you responsible to assess the quality of data?
14. Do you incur any other costs (beyond work time) to assess the quality of data? If yes, please indicate.

15. How would you rate the quality of the data in the E-PRTR?	
Very low	Very high
Release to air	Don't know
Release to water	□ Don't know
Release to land	□ Don't know
Waste transfers	Don't know
16. How would you rate the completeness of the data in the E-PRTR?	
Very low	Very high
Release to air	□ Don't
	know
Release to water	know
Release to water Release to land	□ Don't
	□ Don't know □ Don't

17. Please rate the importance of the following aspects to improve the functioning and value of the E-PRTR. If 'Other', please explain below. Not at all important Very important Inclusion of additional sectors NA/Don't know Lowering activity thresholds NA/Don't know Inclusion of NA/Don't additional pollutants know Removal / NA/Don't decrease of pollutant reporting know thresholds Availability in languages other NA/Don't than English know Availability of contextual NA/Don't information (e.g. know production volume, energy use, water use, raw materials consumption) for a facility Data comparability with NA/Don't regional, national know and non-EU **PRTRs** Other (please NA/Don't explain below) know Comments

Problem 1: Activities and activity thresholds

Page description:

E-PRTR is the main inventory of releases from industrial activities. It is used to evaluate progress with EU environmental policies and whether these measures are effective. Certain activities not currently covered by the E-PRTR Regulation are of environmental significance and are covered more fully by other EU environmental legislation including the Industrial Emissions Directive (IED), Medium Combustion Plant Directive (MCPD) and Urban Wastewater Treatment Directive (UWWTD). Importantly, because the E-PRTR Regulation and IED were developed at different times, their respective activities are similar but not identical. This limits the E-PRTR's potential for evaluating progress of the IED. Additional sectors are also being considered as part of the ongoing IED revision. Furthermore, other activities are covered in other PRTRs, in certain Member States and internationally.

This section of the survey gathers views about the importance of aligning the E-PRTR's sectoral coverage with the IED and other EU environmental legislation.

Activities regulated by the IED (and candidates for a revised IED).

Currently, CO2 capture and storage installations (IED activity 6.9) are covered by the IED and the Emissions Trading Scheme (ETS) but not the E-PRTR Regulation. Other (agro-)industrial activities are covered by neither the IED nor the E-PRTR Regulation but are considered for inclusion by the IED revision.

18. How important is it to include the following (agro-indus	strial) activities in the scope of the E-PRTR Regulation?
Not at all important	Extremely important

	Not at all important	Extremely important	
CO2 capture and storage installations		□ NA / Don't know	't
Upstream oil and gas industries		□ NA / Don't know	't
Battery production and recovery	on	NA / Don't know	't
Downstream ferrous metal processing activities: forging presses, cold rolling and wire drawing		NA / Don't know	't
Ship dismantling		□ NA / Don't know	't
Intensive cattle farms		∏ NA / Don't know	't
Intensive mixed livestock farms		NA / Don't know	't
Intensive horticulture, i.e. growing plants (principally fruits and vegetables) under a roof or in greenhouses wit high intensity use of water, energy, pesticides and fertilisers	n h e	NA / Don't know	't

	Threshold	Unit of Meas	ure
CO2 capture and storage installations			
Upstream oil and gas industries			
Battery production and recovery			
Downstream ferrous metal processing activities: orging presses, cold rolling and wire drawing			
Ship dismantling			
ntensive cattle farms			
ntensive mixed livestock farms			
ntensive horticulture, i.e. growing plants (principally ruits and vegetables) under a roof or in greenhouses with high intensity use of water, energy, pesticides and ertilisers			
Gasification and iquefaction (E-PRTR activity (b)) - adopt the ED sub-categories with wo types of fuel category (IED activity 1.4) Cement and lime production (E-PRTR activity 8(c)) - adopt the			NA / Don't know
ED product- elated sub- categories in IED activity 3.1(a) and 8.1(b) and include an additional sub- category for Magnesium oxide production in kilns IED activity 8.1(c))			
Hazardous and non-hazardous vaste (E-PRTR activities 5(a) and 5(c)) — extend hese activities to align with the IED activities 5.1(a)-k), 5.2(b), 5.5 and 5.6			∏ NA / Don't know
Disposal of non- nazardous waste E-PRTR activity			□ NA / Don't

received or where the total capacity

21. What would be the effect of aligning E-PRTR and IED activity categorisations as desc Please explain.	ribed in the preceding question?
C Facilitate my work	
Make no difference to my current tasks related to the pollutant register	
C Create difficulties	
Comments	
Continents	
22. How important is it to clarify the definition of landfill releases by adding to activity 5(d) to	he words 'including flaring of
vent gas'?	
Not at all important	Extremely important
□ Don't know / Not Applicable	important
23. How important is it to extend the E-PRTR activity threshold to cover combustion plants	s with the following capacities?
Not at all important Ex	tremely important
1 – 5 MW	F
I – 3 MW	∏ NA / Don't
E COMMA	know
>5 – 20 MW	□ NA / Don't
	know
>20 – 50 MW	NA /
	Don't know

	e of legislative coherence, I below? If 'Other', please sp	now important is it to lower the existing threshold for UWWTP ecify.	from 100,000
1	Not at all important	Extremely important	
1,000 p.e.			NA / Don't know
2,000 p.e.			NA / Don't know
5,000 p.e.			NA / Don't know
10,000 p.e.			NA / Don't know
50,000 p.e.			□ NA / Don't know
Other. Please specify below			NA / Don't know
Comments			
	is it to include the following Not at all important	industrial activities in the scope of the E-PRTR Regulation? Extremely important	
Metal working activities (e.g. manufacture of motor vehicles, computer, electrical, transport and other equipment)			NA / Don't know

26. In addition activities with activity, the	h major env	/ironmenta	l pressure	s in the El	J and cu	rrently ou	tside the s		, -	•	
o Yes											
27. If all change E-PRTR Re your compe	gulation wit	th regard t	o activities	and activ	vity thres	holds affe	ect the tim				•
28. If all change E-PRTR Re provided by	gulation wit	th regard to			ity thresh	-					•
Over 100% decrease	76-100% decrease	51-75% decrease	26%-50% decrease	5-25% decrease	+/-5% little or no impact	5-25% increase	26%- 50% increase	51-75% increase	76-100% increase	Over 100% increase	Do not know
U	O	U	O	U	U	O	U	U	U	O	O .
29. What is would trigge	-	_	-		_		_	to activitie	s and acti	vity thresh	nolds that

Problem 2: Pollutants and thresholds for reporting releases

Page description:

The E-PRTR's Annex II lists 91 pollutants. These cover a substantial proportion of pollutants listed in other EU environmental protection initiatives. Analysis of the IED and Best Available Techniques (BAT) conclusions, European environmental legislation and international recommendations, other PRTRs and the scientific literature identified a number of new pollutants for potential addition to the E-PRTR (ICF et al., 2020). E-PRTR may also have the potential to better align with controls set under the REACH Regulation (Registration, Evaluation, Authorisation and Restriction of Chemicals, EC 1907/2006) and updates of the Environmental Quality Standards Directive (2008/105/EC).

30. Is it important to include the following pollutants in the scope of the E-PRTR Regulation?

	Releases to air			Rele	ases to wate	er	Releases to land		
	Not important	Important	NA / Don't know	Not important	Important	NA / Don't know	Not important	Important	NA / Don't know
17-beta-Estradiol (E2); 17-alpha- Ethinylestradiol (EE2); Estrone (E1)	О	С	0	О	О	0	О	О	0
2-Ethoxyethanol / ethylene glycol monoethyl ether	О	o	0	О	O	O	О	O	0
Acetaldehyde	О	О	0	0	0	0	0	O	0
Aclonifen	0	0	0	O	O	0	O	O	0
Acrolein	0	O	0	О	C	0	О	O	0
Acrylamide	0	О	O	C	C	O	C	C	0
Acrylic acid and its water-soluble salts	0	О	O	C	O	O	O	C	0
Acrylonitrile	0	0	0	O	О	0	O	O	0
Antimony and compounds (as Sb)	0	О	0	O	О	0	O	O	0
Beryllium and compounds (as Be)	О	О	0	0	0	0	O	0	0
Bifenox	О	О	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Bisphenol-A	О	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Carbamazepine	С	0	0	O	0	0	O	O	0
Black carbon (BC)	О	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Carbon disulphide	С	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Chromium (VI) compounds (as Cr)	О	0	C	O	O	C	O	O	O
Cobalt and compounds (as Co)	0	O	O	O	C	О	O	O	0
Cybutryne	0	O	o	O	C	О	0	O	0
Cypermethrin	0	O	O	0	C	О	0	O	0
Dichlorvos	0	O	o	0	C	O	0	O	0
Dicofol	0	0	O	O	O	О	O	O	0
Fluorinated ethers and alcohols	0	0	О	O	O	О	O	O	0
Formaldehyde (formalin)	О	O	О	O	O	О	O	O	0
Glyphosate	O	O	О	O	O	О	O	O	0
Hexabromocyclododecane (HBCDD)	0	O	O	O	O	О	O	O	0
Hydrogen sulphide	0	О	0	О	О	0	О	О	0
Macrolide antibiotics (azithromycin, clarithroymycin, erythromycin)	О	С	О	О	О	О	О	О	0
Manganese and compounds (as Mn)	О	О	0	O	O	0	O	O	0
Microplastics, i.e. materials consisting of solid polymer-containing particles, where ≥ 1% w/w of particles have (i) all dimensions 1 mm < v <		•	-						

UI PALIIULES HAVE (I) AII UIIILEHSIUHS TIIII 2 X 2									
5mm, or (ii), for fibres, a length of 3nm ≤ x ≤ 15mm and length to diameter ratio of >3.									
n-Hexane	0	0	О	0	О	O	О	0	O
Neonicotinoids (Imidacloprid, Thiacloprid, Thiamethoxam, Acetamiprid, Clothianidin)	O	0	О	О	0	О	С	0	О
Nitrogen trifluoride (NF3)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Nicosulfuron (herbicide)	0	0	О	0	О	0	0	0	O
Per- and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances (PFAS) all PFAS as a group, or	О	O	О	О	О	O	О	o	О
Perfluorohexane sulfonic acid (PFHxS), its salts and PFHxS-related compounds	О	0	О	0	0	0	О	0	О
Perfluorooctane sulfonic acid (PFOS), its salts and perfluorooctane sulfonyl fluoride (PFOS-F)	0	O	o	0	0	0	o	O	o
Perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA), its salts and PFOA-related compounds	О	O	O	О	0	O	О	О	O
PM2.5	0	0	О	0	О	0	О	0	O
Polychlorinated naphthalenes	О	0	0	О	0	0	О	0	0
Pyrethroids (Bifenthrin, Deltamethrin, Esfenvalerate, Permethrin)	O	0	О	О	O	О	c	O	О
Quinoxyfen	0	O	O	0	О	0	О	0	0
Selenium and compounds (as Se)	О	O	0	О	0	0	О	О	O
Short-chain chlorinated paraffins (SCCPs)	О	0	О	0	О	0	0	О	О
Silver (biocide)	0	0	С	О	О	0	0	О	С
Sulfamethoxazole	0	0	О	О	О	0	0	О	О
Sulphates	0	0	О	О	0	O	c	0	О
Terbutryn	0	0	0	О	О	O	О	О	O
Thallium and compounds (as TI)	0	0	0	O	0	0	0	О	O
Tin and tin compounds (as Sn)	О	0	O	0	О	O	О	О	C
Total suspended solids (TSS)	О	0	О	0	О	O	О	О	С
Triclosan	О	0	С	0	О	О	О	0	С
Vanadium and compounds (as V)	0	0	0	О	0	О	0	0	0

31. If included (see preceding question), what would be appropriate E-PRTR thresholds for reporting releases? Please suggest threshold value and provide supporting information.

	Release to air - threshold value (kg/y)	Release to air - supporting information	Release to water - threshold value (kg/y)	Release to water - supporting information	Release to land - threshold value (kg/y)	Release to land - supporting information
17-beta-Estradiol (E2); 17-alpha-Ethinylestradiol (EE2); Estrone (E1)						
2-Ethoxyethanol / ethylene glycol monoethyl ether						
Acetaldehyde						
Aclonifen						
Acrolein						

Acrylamide	
Acrylic acid and its water-soluble salts	
Acrylonitrile	
Antimony and compounds (as Sb)	
Beryllium and compounds (as Be)	
Bifenox	
Bisphenol-A	
Black carbon (BC)	
Carbamazepine	
Carbon disulphide	
Chromium (VI) compounds (as Cr)	
Cobalt and compounds (as Co)	
Cybutryne	
Cypermethrin	
Dichlorvos	
Dicofol	
Fluorinated ethers and alcohols	
Formaldehyde (formalin)	
Glyphosate	
Hexabromocyclododecane (HBCDD)	
Hydrogen sulphide	
Macrolide antibiotics (azithromycin, clarithroymycin, erythromycin)	
Manganese and compounds (as Mn)	
Microplastics i.e. materials consisting of solid polymer-containing particles, where $\geq 1\%$ w/w of particles have (i) all dimensions $1 \text{nm} \leq x \leq 5 \text{mm}$, or (ii), for fibres, a length of $3 \text{nm} \leq x \leq 15 \text{mm}$ and length to diameter ratio of >3 .	
n-Hexane	
Neonicotinoids (Imidacloprid, Thiacloprid, Thiamethoxam, Acetamiprid, Clothianidin)	
Nitrogen trifluoride (NF3)	

Nicosulfuron	
Per- and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances (PFAS) all PFAS as a group, or	
Perfluorohexane sulfonic acid (PFHxS), its salts and PFHxS-related compounds	
Perfluorooctane sulfonic acid (PFOS), its salts and perfluorooctane sulfonyl fluoride (PFOS-F)	
Perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA), its salts and PFOA-related compounds	
PM2.5	
Polychlorinated naphthalenes	
Pyrethroids (Bifenthrin, Deltamethrin, Esfenvalerate, Permethrin)	
Quinoxyfen	
Selenium and compounds (as Se)	
Short-chain chlorinated paraffins (SCCPs)	
Silver (biocide)	
Sulfamethoxazole	
Sulphates	
Terbutryn	
Thallium and compounds (as TI)	
Tin and tin compounds (as Sn)	
Total suspended particulate (TSP)	
Total suspended solids (TSS)	
Triclosan	
Vanadium and compounds (as V)	
Comments	

32	. How important is it to imple Not at all impor		g mechanisms? Please explain your answers. Extremely important	
	"Sunrise" mechanism: pollutants of emerging concern are periodically considered for addition to the E- PRTR			□ Don't know
	"Sunset" mechanism: E- PRTR pollutants, for which releases are reported in very low quantities for a number of years, are periodically considered for removal from the list			□ Don't know
	Comments			
	Are there any other pollutaritify your suggestions.	nts that should be	considered for inclusion in the scope of the E-PRTR Reg	ulation? Please
	Are there any pollutants that tify your suggestions.	at should be cons	idered for removal from the scope of the E-PRTR Regulat	ion? Please
		To be considered for removal?	Justify your suggestion	
	Methane (CH4)	O		
	Carbon monoxide (CO)	O		
	Carbon dioxide (CO2)	O		
	Hydro-fluorocarbons (HFCs)	О		
	Nitrous oxide (N2O)	О		
	Ammonia (NH3)	o		
	Non-methane volatile organic compounds (NMVOC)	o		
	Nitrogen oxides (NOx/NO2)	O		

Perfluorocarbons (PFCs)	O	
Sulphur hexafluoride (SF6)	O	
Sulphur oxides (SOx/SO2)	О	
Total nitrogen	О	
Total phosphorus	О	
Hydrochlorofluorocarbons (HCFCs)	O	
Chlorofluorocarbons (CFCs)	O	
Halons	•	
Arsenic and compounds (as As)	o	
Cadmium and compounds (as Cd)	0	
Chromium and compounds (as Cr)	О	
Copper and compounds (as Cu)	О	
Mercury and compounds (as Hg)	О	
Nickel and compounds (as Ni)	O	
Lead and compounds (as Pb)	O	
Zinc and compounds (as Zn)	O	
Alachlor	O	
Aldrin	0	
Atrazine	0	
Chlordane	•	
Chlordecone	o	
Chlorfenvinphos	•	
Chloro-alkanes, C10-C13	o	
Chlorpyrifos	O	
DDT	О	
1,2-dichloroethane (EDC)	•	
Dichloromethane (DCM)	o	
Dieldrin	o	

Diuron	o	
Endosulphan	o	
Endrin	О	
Halogenated organic compounds (as AOX)	O	
Heptachlor	o	
Hexachlorobenzene (HCB)	0	
Hexachlorobutadiene (HCBD)	o	
1,2,3,4,5,6- hexachlorocyclohexane(HCH)	С	
Lindane	О	
Mirex	0	
PCDD + PCDF (dioxins + furans) (as Teq)	o	
Pentachlorobenzene	О	
Pentachlorophenol (PCP)	o	
Polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs)	С	
Simazine	О	
Tetrachloroethylene (PER)	С	
Tetrachloromethane (TCM)	О	
Trichlorobenzenes (TCBs) (all isomers)	С	
1,1,1-trichloroethane	О	
1,1,2,2-tetrachloroethane	o	
Trichloroethylene	o	
Trichloromethane	0	
Toxaphene	О	
Vinyl chloride	С	
Anthracene	o	
Benzene	o	
Brominated diphenylethers (PBDE)	О	
Nonylphenol and Nonylphenol ethoxylates (NP/NPEs)	С	

Ethyl benzene	О	
Ethylene oxide	О	
Isoproturon	О	
Naphthalene	О	
Organotin compounds (as total Sn)	О	
Di-(2-ethyl hexyl) phthalate (DEHP)	О	
Phenols (as total C)	О	
Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs)	O	
Toluene	O	
Tributyltin and compounds	О	
Triphenyltin and compounds	О	
Total organic carbon (TOC) (as total C or COD/3)	О	
Trifluralin	О	
Xylenes	О	
Chlorides	О	
Chlorine and inorganic compounds	o	
Asbestos	О	
Cyanides (as total CN)	О	
Fluorides (as total F)	О	
Fluorine and inorganic compounds (as HF)	О	
Hydrogen cyanide (HCN)	О	
Particulate matter (PM10)	O	
Octylphenols and Octylphenol ethoxylates	O	
Fluoranthene	O	
Isodrin	O	
Hexabromobiphenyl	o	
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	o	

35. For the overall effectiveness of the E-PRTR, how important is it to reduce reporting thresholds to capture 90% of industrial releases?
Not at all important Extremely important Don't know
36. As suggested above, how would the revision of the scope of the E-PRTR Regulation with regard to pollutants and reporting thresholds affect the time you spend on reporting information to your competent authority? Please indicate the number of additional or fewer person-days.
37. As suggested above, how would the revision of the scope of the E-PRTR Regulation with regard to pollutants and reporting thresholds affect the time you spend on quality assuring the data provided by facility operators? Over 76- 100% 100% 51-75% 50% 5-25% no 5-25% 26%-50% 51-75% 76-100% 100% Do not increase increase increase increase increase increase decrease decrease decrease know
38. What is the particular change in scope of the E-PRTR Regulation with regard to pollutants and reporting thresholds that would trigger the change in the work time spent on PRTR-related duties?
39. Should the E-PRTR supporting guidance specify which pollutants must be reported for which activity? Please explain. Yes No Don't know Comments

E-PRTR? Please exp	olain.	
© Yes		
° No		
C Don't know		
Comments		
Problem area 3: Informatio	on to track progress towards the circular economy and decarbonisation	of industry
only partly included in to objectives. The addition of environmental performant	of waste transfers and data on resource consumption (e.g. energy, water are the E-PRTR. They could be an important contribution to understanding portion contextual data, e.g. energy use, could also increase the usefulness of the carbon efficiency of different industrial activities. If such data, some may be claimed as confidential business information (CBI) and excluding	progress towards realising circular economy e E-PRTR in supporting the assessment of the a were reported to competent authorities and
41. How important is specify in the text box	it to require the reporting of additional contextual information? If x below.	'Other contextual information', please
No	t at all important	Extremely important
No Energy consumption	t at all important	□ Don't
Energy	t at all important	
Energy consumption Energy recovery / reuse	t at all important	Don't know
Energy consumption Energy recovery /	t at all important	□ Don't know □ Don't know □ Don't
Energy consumption Energy recovery / reuse Raw materials consumption Water	t at all important	Don't know Don't know Don't know
Energy consumption Energy recovery / reuse Raw materials consumption Water consumption	t at all important	Don't know Don't know Don't know Don't know Don't know
Energy consumption Energy recovery / reuse Raw materials consumption Water	t at all important	Don't know Don't know Don't know Don't know
Energy consumption Energy recovery / reuse Raw materials consumption Water consumption Percentage of	t at all important	Don't know
Energy consumption Energy recovery / reuse Raw materials consumption Water consumption Percentage of water reused Composition of	t at all important	Don't know
Energy consumption Energy recovery / reuse Raw materials consumption Water consumption Percentage of water reused Composition of waste transfers	t at all important	Don't know
Energy consumption Energy recovery / reuse Raw materials consumption Water consumption Percentage of water reused Composition of waste transfers Other contextual	t at all important	Don't know
Energy consumption Energy recovery / reuse Raw materials consumption Water consumption Percentage of water reused Composition of waste transfers Other contextual information	t at all important	Don't know

40. Should the E-PRTR supporting guidance specify which release quantification method is to be used for reporting to the

competent authority? No impact Significant impact Energy consumption NA / Don't know Energy recovery / reuse NA / Don't know Raw materials consumption NA / Don't know Water consumption □ NA / Don't know Percentage of water reused NA / Don't know Composition of waste transfers NA / Don't know Other contextual information (if you specified any in the preceding question) NA / Don't know Comments

42. How would these additional reporting requirements affect the time you spend on reporting information to your

43. How would these additional reporting requireme facility operators?	nts affect the time you spend on quality assuring the data provided by
No impact	Significant impact
Energy consumption	□ NA / Don't know
Energy recovery / reuse	□ NA / Don't know
Raw materials consumption	NA / Don't know
Water consumption	□ NA / Don't know
Percentage of water reused	NA / Don't know
Composition of waste transfers	NA / Don't know
Other contextual information (if you specified any in the preceding question)	NA / Don't know
Comments	
44. How important is it to require reporting of disagg	regated HFCs, HCFCs, CFCs and PFCs? Please explain.
Not at all important	Extremely important
☐ Don't know	
Comments	
45. Which individual HFCs, HCFCs, CFCs and PFC	s compounds / sub-groups should be reported?

Page	descri	ption
		P (O

Releases are quantified and reported to the E-PRTR by individual facilities using a bottom-up approach. For some current, and proposed, E-PRTR activities e.g. intensive livestock rearing, the bottom-up approach requires a large number of facilities to report. Such activities are often homogenous and are carried out by many small facilities, but the aggregated releases are significant. Instead, a top-down approach could be considered where relevant national statistics or sector-specific statistics and relevant emission factors are used for selected activities, pollutants and/or sizes of facilities to derive reasonable estimates of typical releases. This could ensure a proportionate reporting burden reflecting the size and environmental impact of certain facilities and/or activities.

46. In order to reduce administrative burden, how important is it to introduce flexibility in E-PRTR reporting modality for certain sectors? E.g. national/regional collation for intensive livestock farming. Please explain.			
Not at all important □ Don't know	Extremely important		
_ DOITE KNOW			
Comments			
47. Beyond the reduction of administrative b certain activities?	urden, what are the pros and cons of adopting a top-down approach for		

48. How would the following approaches affect the time lag between end of a reporting year and the time that data become available on the E-PRTR? If 'Other' approaches, please explain.

No chang	ge	Significant decrease
Improved reporting system to submit data to competent authorities (e.g. immediately flags errors and inconsistencies and enables communication and tracking of follow-up questions)		NA / Don't know
Near real-time reporting of CEMS data for certain activities		NA / Don't know
Clearer guidance on what pollutants should be reported and what quantification method to use		NA / Don't know
Guidance and tools to assist the competent authorities with the review process (e.g. earlier flagging of anomalies and typical discrepancies)		NA / Don't know
Improved submission system to EEA, to receive feedback, and to resolve follow-up questions quicker		□ NA / Don't know
Other approaches		NA /
Comments		Don't know

49. What are the main challenges with their implementation?
Challenge
Improved reporting system to submit data to competent authorities (e.g. immediately flags errors and inconsistencies and enables communication and tracking of follow-up questions)
Near real-time reporting of CEMS data for certain activities
Clearer guidance on what pollutants should be reported and what quantification method to use
Guidance and tools to assist the competent authorities with the review process (e.g. earlier flagging of anomalies and typical discrepancies)
Improved submission system to EEA, to receive feedback, and to resolve follow-up questions quicker
Other approaches specified in the preceding question.
50. How would implementation of some or all of these approaches to reduce the time lag between the end of reporting year and availability of data affect your organisation? Please explain.
No impact Significant impact
☐ Not Applicable/Don't know
Comments
Problem area 5: Access to E-PRTR information
Page description: There is a need to further promote participation in environmental decision making by improving the ease of public access to E-PRTR information and the utility of that information for users.
Operators reporting more than one type of activity. Alignment between the E-PRTR and the IED can also be problematic where more than one E-PRTR Annex I activity is carried out by an operator. In these cases, the facility reports the total aggregated releases to the E-PRTR rather than the activity-specific releases. This precludes the separation of releases needed for an IED activity-specific assessment.
51. How important is it to require releases to be reported at a 'sub-facility level', i.e. by installation? Please explain.
Not at all important Extremely important
☐ Not Applicable/Don't know
Comments

52. How would reporting at installation level,	rather than facility level, affect your workload	?
No impact		Significant impact
☐ Not Applicable/Don't know		
Comments		
50 Daylor field it approximately	blished E DDTD information 2 Disease symbol	
53. Do you find it easy to access and use pu	blished E-PRTR information? Please explain	1.
Very easy		Very difficult
☐ Don't know		
_		
Comments		

		ses? If you answered that	the E-PRTR is not use	eful for any of th	ne below
	olain and indicate how it on the state of th	could be improved.		Very useful	
To understand environmental concerns in your local environment					
To increase transparency in environmental information and decision making					
To increase engagement of the public in environmental information and decision making					
To inform policy development (national or EU)					
To increase the accountability of operators of polluting activities and provide an incentive to improve environmental performance					
To prevent and/or reduce environmental pollution					
To achieve the European Green Deal goals					
Comments					
55. How important is	it for the E-PRTR to be a	vailable in languages oth	er than English?		
Not at all important				remely ortant	
☐ Don't know					

Problem area 6: Releases from diffuse sources and releases from products

Page description:

Article 8 of the E-PRTR Regulation fulfils the Kiev Protocol requirement to include information on releases from diffuse sources with a sufficient level of geographical disaggregation. The Kiev Protocol defines "diffuse sources" as the "many smaller or scattered sources from which pollutants may be released to land, air or water, whose combined impact on those media may be significant and for which it is impractical to collect reports from each individual source". This definition covers, for example, road transport, shipping, aviation, agriculture, fuel distribution, domestic heating and facilities that are below PRTR capacity thresholds.

The previous limited E-PRTR exercises to estimate releases to <u>air</u> and <u>water</u> from diffuse sources are now substantially out of date. More current data on releases from diffuse sources would provide a more holistic and comprehensive quantification of releases from EU anthropogenic sources to set releases from EU (agro-)industrial sources in context.

Future data could be compiled by Member States providing information specific to their country; by new Commission studies; and/or by utilising spatially resolved information delivered by other reporting mechanisms, e.g. the National Emissions Ceilings Directive (NECD, 2016/2284/EU), air emissions inventories or Water Information System for Europe (WISE) data under the Water Framework Directive (2000/60/EC).

56. Have you ever accessed the E-PRTR information on releases from diffuse sources?
© Yes
C No
57. How can the current E-PRTR information on releases from diffuse sources be improved?
Address more sources (Please explain)
☐ Improved spatial resolution
☐ Use methodologies specific to individual countries
☐ More recent estimates
Estimates at regular intervals to develop a time series
Cther (Please explain)
58. What would be the best way to compile estimates of releases from diffuse sources?
Member States report, at regular intervals, using methods that best capture the situation in their country
Member States report, at regular intervals, using a standardised template and standardised emission factors, at regular intervals
C Dedicated Commission studies (of the type already undertaken), at regular intervals
C Use estimates from other reporting requirements
C Other (Please explain)

59. How important is it for the E-PRTR to estimate releases from products? Please explain.		
Not at all important Extremely important		
□ Don't know		
Comments		
0. What do you consider yould be the best machanism to devive estimates of valences from available?		
What do you consider would be the best mechanism to derive estimates of releases from products?		
neral		
1. Please provide any other comment or suggestion you would like to share regarding the revision of the E-PRTR legulation.		